

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/910,639	07/20/2001	Daniel A. Vallera	09531-023001 / Z01015	2607
·7	7590 09/10/2002			
MARK S. ELLINGER, PH.D. Fish & Richardson P.C. Suite 2800			EXAMINER	
			JONES, DAMERON LEVEST	
45 Rockefeller Plaza New York, NY 10111			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2.00.00			1616	

DATE MAILED: 09/10/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
<u>, </u>	09/910,639	VALLERA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit			
	D. L. Jones	1616			
The MAILING DATE f this communication app					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are pending in the application	١.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) 1-39 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to th		•			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	iew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1616

RESTRICTION INTO GROUPS

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-16 and 34-30, drawn to an *in vivo* radiolabeled immunotoxin comprising an antibody fragment and uses thereof, classified in class 424, subclass 1.49.
 - II. Claims 1-17 and 34-39, drawn to an *in vitro* radiolabeled immunotoxin comprising and antibody and uses thereof, classified in class 530, subclass 387.1.
 - III. Claims 18-29, drawn to a method of *in vivo* screening, classified in class 424, subclass 9.2.
 - IV. Claims 30 and 31, drawn to a method of making radiolabeled immunotoxin with a nucleic acid sequence encoding a protein, classified in class 424, subclass 1.73.
 - V. Claims 32 and 33, drawn to a method of making radiolabeled
 immunotoxins with proteins, classified in class 424, subclass 1.69.

Note: Claims appearing in more than one Group will be examined only to the extent that they read upon the elected invention.

2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I-V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they
are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of

Art Unit: 1616

operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, the inventions are different because (1) one Group of compounds and uses thereof is directed to in vivo use (i.e., Group I) while another is directed to in vitro use of the compounds (i.e., Group II) or in vivo screening (i.e., Group 3). (2) Groups IV and V are directed to two different methods of generating radiolabeled immunotoxin (e.g., one method utilizes a nucleic acid sequence). Thus, prior art anticipating or rendering obvious one invention would neither anticipate nor render obvious the compounds and/or uses of another group. Hence, the inventions are separate and distinct.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

ELECTION OF SPECIES

4. Claims 1-39 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising radiolabeled immunotoxins. The immunotoxins may be utilized in various methods (e.g., *in vivo* or *in vitro*). Likewise, the immunotoxins may be used in a method of *in vivo* screening. Also, as set forth by Applicant in the claims, the immunotoxin may be generated using different methods. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to *elect a single disclosed species*, even though this requirement is traversed.

Art Unit: 1616

Note: The Examiner respectfully requests that Applicant elect a single species for examination purposes from the elected group above. The 'single' species should comprise a toxic domain, targeting molecule, and a radionuclide atom.

- 5. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 6. A telephone call was not made to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement due to the complexity of the restriction requirement.
- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1616

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. L. Jones whose telephone number is (703) 308-4640. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. (alternate Mon.), 6:45 a.m. - 4:15

p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jose' Dees can be reached on (703) 308- 4628. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4556 for regular communications and (703) 308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1616

Page 5

September 9, 2002